- Q. Please give your wife's full name.
- A. Hattie Rebecca Kolb.
- Q. You and your wife are the complainants asking for sale of the real estate in No. 10555 Equity, are you?
- A. Yes sir.
- Q. Who are the defendants in this case?
- A. Mrs. Martha E. Kolb, widow of David Kolb, Denmead Kolb, Charlotte Kolb, Mariana Kolb, Catherine Kolb, Jenetta Kolb and David W. Kolb, Jr. They are the Defendants in this case.
- Q. Will you state which of these defendants are adults and which are infants?
- A. Martha E. Kolb, widow, and Denmead Kolb are adults, and Charlotte Kolb, Mariana Kolb, Catherine Kolb, Jenette Kolb and David W. Kilb Jr., are all infants and all reside in Frederick County, Maryland.
- Q. Now was David Kolb your brother?
- A. Yes sir.
- Q. Is he living or dead?
- A. He is dead.
- Q. When did he die?
- A. He died in JUne, 1922.
- Q. He left surviving him a widow, Martha E. Kolb, and the children heretofore named?
- A. Yes sir.
- Q. What real estate, if any, did David Kolb own at the time of his death and who was entitled to the same upon his death and how?
- A. He had one piece of property at Braddock the title to which I understand is in his own name.
- Q. Look at Exhibits Nos.1 to 9, that is No.1, here is No.2, there is No.3, No.4, No.5, No. 5, No. 7, No. 8, No. 9.
- A. I find that the first eight exhibits describe correctly the property owned by my brother, David Kolb, and myself as tenants in common, one-half of which belongs to me under the law the remaining one-half belongs to his widow and children heretofore named, to whom it is descended upon his death as heirs-at-law and tenants in common. The Exhibit No.9 described property located at Braddock Heights conveyed to Dabid Kolb in his own name and it is agreed that action looking to the sale of this property be deferred for further action of Court.
- Q. Is the property described in Exhibits Nos.1 to 8 inclusive capable of division amongst the parties according to their respective rights and interests? Can you divide it?
- A. Being in real estate consisting of a number of houses, I do not see how it would be possible to divide these houses up according to the interest of the several parties.
- Q. What have you to say about it being to the benefit and advantage of the parties that the property be sold and the proceeds distributed according to the rights of the parties?
- A. I think that would be the best to be done.
- Q. Why?
- A. It consists of a number of pieces of real estate located at different places in Frederick City, and the defendants in this case consist of seven people, five of whom are infants, and I believe it would be to their best interest to have the property sold and divided. Some of the properties are in bad repair and need considerable money spent on them at an early date, and under these circumstances I think it best that the property be sold at as early a date as possible.

To the general interrogatory; Nothing further.